

# Eliminating Medical Device–Related Pressure Injury From Blood Pressure Cuffs During Continuous Monitoring in the Perioperative Setting: A Novel Approach

*Lisa Owens, MSN, RN-BC, CWOCN, Hillary Stamps, BSN, RN*

*Hospital-acquired pressure injuries have a significant impact on quality of life. Health care organizations continually strive to improve care and patient satisfaction, for the well-being of the patient and the fiscal health of the organization. A commitment to protecting skin and reducing risk for pressure injury in the perioperative setting is gaining momentum.*

**Keywords:** *blood pressure cuff, hospital-acquired pressure injury, medical device-related pressure injury, stockinette.*

© 2017 by American Society of PeriAnesthesia Nurses

**PERIOPERATIVE PATIENTS ARE** at a distinct danger of developing skin compromise while in surgery. Multiple factors contribute to reduced sensation and awareness.<sup>1</sup> In particular, perioperative patients are at high risk for the phenomenon known as medical device–related pressure injury (MDRPI). Vulnerable patients can be effectively protected with a novel low-cost pressure injury prevention intervention, which in turn increases patient satisfaction, eliminates patient distress, and adds a figurative layer of protection against a hospital-acquired condition.

## Purpose

Pain and discomfort, risk for infection, prolonged length of stay, patient distress, and increased costs are all potential complications resulting from hospital-acquired pressure injury.<sup>2</sup> A subset of

the hospital-acquired pressure injury is the MDRPI, resulting from the use of a device designed and applied for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes.<sup>3</sup>

Blood pressure (BP) monitoring is part of a minimum standard of care in the anesthetized patient.<sup>4</sup> When a BP cuff is inflated, the pressure stops blood flow. Once the cuff is released, blood begins to course and systolic and diastolic pressures are identified. Continuous BP monitoring is not without risk. There are several physiologic changes that occur as a direct result of continuous BP monitoring, including decreased perfusion, skin temperature variation, and direct contact with a rigid device. These pose increased risk for skin compromise.

Perioperative-focused pressure injury prevention interventions, which take into account the classic pressure injury risk (vulnerability on bony prominences), include risk appraisal and skin assessment, as well as pressure injury prevention measures, including appropriate surface selection, adequate positioning equipment, and resolute attention to manual patient transfers.<sup>5</sup> MDRPIs are not typically linked to those same causative factors. Altered microclimate (atmospheric conditions), edema, and incorrect cuff sizing are contributing factors associated with the increased risk for MDRPI

*Lisa Owens, MSN, RN-BC, CWOCN, Wound, Ostomy & Continence Department, Mercy Medical Center, Baltimore, MD; and Hillary Stamps, BSN, RN, Mercy Medical Center, Baltimore, MD.*

*Conflict of interest: None to report.*

*Address correspondence to Lisa Owens, Wound, Ostomy & Continence Department, Mercy Medical Center, 301 St. Paul Place, Baltimore, MD 21202; e-mail address: [lisakowens@yahoo.com](mailto:lisakowens@yahoo.com).*

© 2017 by American Society of PeriAnesthesia Nurses

1089-9472/\$36.00

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2016.12.007>

related to BP measurement devices.<sup>6</sup> Correct device application and routine monitoring are necessary to avoid undue harm and counteract a patient's risk for skin compromise.

Anesthetized patients are vulnerable because they can neither change positions nor sense discomfort.<sup>1</sup> Off-loading pressure is a key factor in reducing the risk for pressure-related skin injury.<sup>7</sup> Often, medical devices cannot be removed or repositioned during the intraoperative period. There are reports in the literature of soft tissue folding under BP cuffs as a result of inadequate padding.<sup>8</sup> These skin injuries may be mislabeled as tears or bruising, considered par for the course. However, these pressure injuries can be avoided.

At Mercy Medical Center in Baltimore, MD, the Certified Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nurse (CWOCN) is consulted on all nosocomial breaks in skin integrity for further assessment and care planning. Based on data tracked by the CWOCN, from March 2013 to July 2013, an increased trend in loss of skin integrity under BP cuffs was observed. During the 5-month period, nine patients were identified with incidences of MDRPI caused by BP cuffs used during the perioperative period.

The CWOCN conducted a search for pertinent literature related to prevention of MDRPI. The literature identified that a protective interface between the skin and device has been shown to reduce MDRPI.<sup>9</sup> Nurses wanted an intervention that would not alter the accuracy of BP monitoring while still providing a conforming and wicking interface. Current practice was to place a BP cuff directly on the patient without an interface.

## Design

Mercy Medical Center applies a systematic approach to quality improvement (QI) methodology. The acronym AIIMM, which stands for assess, improve, implement, measure, and maintain, applies to the steps of this project.

Initial review of the BP cuff placement process revealed areas of vulnerability and opportunities for improvement. The process included the anesthesia provider placing the cuff on the patient, calibrating pressure and inflation intervals, and monitoring BP readings. The perioperative nurses

were responsible for removing the cuff and performing a skin inspection.

The nurses determined that a multidisciplinary approach to improve practice was essential. The QI team included the CWOCN, perioperative skin champions, perioperative nurses, perioperative nurse educators, anesthesia providers, and perioperative nurse informaticists. The team's primary objective was to assess, improve, measure, and monitor progress and outcomes of the proposed intervention. In addition, each MDRPI would be analyzed in detail to determine causality and unique risk factors.

## Methods

Our hospital uses a tubular bandage (stockinette) made of elastic cotton weave for casted orthopaedic patients (Figure 1). Stockinette is applied before cast application to wick moisture and protect skin from casting materials. In consideration of this practice, the team decided to apply stockinette before BP cuff application to protect arms from moisture and cuff material. Education, spearheaded by nurse educators, was provided to all perioperative staff (anesthesia providers, anesthesia technicians, registered nurses, and nursing support technicians).

Distribution of the stockinette was added to the anesthesia cart at an estimated cost of 18 cents per



Figure 1. Stockinette. This figure is available in color online at [www.jopan.org](http://www.jopan.org).




Figure 2. Number of medical device-related pressure injuries from blood pressure cuffs in the perioperative setting (when using stockinette).

single length. Nursing informatics created a template in the electronic medical record for documentation of stockinette application and skin integrity.

Initially, the stockinette was used on patients who met preoperative anecdotal at-risk criteria ( $>30$  body mass index and/or  $>3$  hour surgeries). Anesthesia providers applied the stockinette (and cuff) on the patient's arm. Postoperative nurses removed the stockinette (and cuff) for skin inspection after the procedure. This change in practice continued for several months to collect enough data to measure results.

### Findings

After initiation of the stockinette, there were no MDRPIs from BP cuffs over a 4-month period. During 5 months, there was one MDRPI occurrence. A detailed review of events revealed that the stockinette had not been used with this patient as she did not meet the preoperative anecdotal at-risk criteria.

This incident resulted in a QI team refocus. All stakeholders were alerted to the details of the

incident and re-educated on the effectiveness of the stockinette.

Based on this incident, a decision was made to apply a stockinette to all patients, regardless of preoperative risk. Stockinette use is monitored regularly to ensure a zero MDRPI incidence. This one intervention resulted in no further MDRPI incidences in over 3 years (Figure 2).

### Conclusion

Patient care QI initiatives cannot be developed or implemented in silos. It takes the entire patient care team to be open to questioning and evaluating current practice to have a lasting effect. This interdisciplinary led, low-cost, and evidence-based QI project effectively improved the quality of care for our patients. Consistent application of a stockinette under the BP cuff resulted in the elimination of MDRPIs in the perioperative setting. Because of the success of the team, the practice of using a stockinette under BP cuffs has been expanded to other monitored areas such as critical care and labor and delivery units.

### References

1. Nilsson U. Intraoperative positioning of patients under general anesthesia and the risk of postoperative pain and pressure ulcers. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 2013;28:137-143.

2. Smit I, Harrison L, Letzkus L, Quatrara B. What factors are associated with the development of pressure ulcers in a medical intensive care unit? *Dimens Crit Care Nurs*. 2016;35:37-41.

3. NPUAP for immediate release: Change in terminology and staging updates. National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel. 2016. Available at: <http://www.npuap.org/national-pressure-ulcer-advisory-panel-npuap-announces-a-change-in-terminology-from-pressure-ulcer-to-pressure-injury-and-updates-the-stages-of-pressure-injury>. Accessed February 2, 2017.
4. Ward M, Langston J. Blood pressure measurement. *Cont Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain*. 2007;7:122-126.
5. MHA patient safety call to action: Pressure ulcer prevention in the OR: Recommendations and guidance. Minnesota Hospital Association. 2016. Available at: <http://www.mnhospitals.org/patient-safety/current-safety-quality-initiatives/surgery-and-procedures>. Accessed February 2, 2017.
6. Black J, Kalowes P. Low hanging fruit: Medical device-related pressure ulcers. National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel. 2016. Available at: <http://www.npuap.org/?s=MDRPU>. Accessed February 2, 2017.
7. Marsden G, Jones K, Nielson J, Stasby L, Collier M, Avital G. A cost-effectiveness analysis of two different repositioning strategies for the prevention of pressure ulcers. *J Adv Nurs*. 2015; 71:2879-2885.
8. McEwen J. Complications and preventive measures. 2016. Available at: [http://www.tourniquets.org/complications\\_preventive.php](http://www.tourniquets.org/complications_preventive.php). Accessed February 2, 2017.
9. Makic M. Medical device-related pressure ulcers and intensive care patients. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 2015;30:336-337.